A bromine-catalysed free-radical oxidation of acetamides from primary and secondary alkylamines by H_2O_2

Hans-René Bjørsvik,*a* **Francesca Fontana,***b* **Lucia Liguori***c* **and Francesco Minisci****c*

a Department of Chemistry, University of Bergen, Allégaten 41, N-5007 Bergen, Norway

b Department of Engineering, University of Bergamo, viale Marconi 5, I-24044 Dalmine (BG), Italy

c Department of Chemistry, Politecnico di Milano, via Mancinelli 7, I-20131 Milano, Italy.

E-mail: Francesco.Minisci@polimi.it

Received (in Liverpool, UK) 7th November 2000, Accepted 8th February 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 26th February 2001

New procedures based on the oxidation by brominecatalysed hydrogen peroxide in a two-phase system provide simple and cheap transformations of alkylamines to carbonyl derivatives (aldehydes, ketones, carboxylic acid, imides, lactams) through the corresponding acetamides.

Classical rearrangement reactions, such as the Hofmann^{1,2} and Curtius1,3 rearrangements, allow the transformation of carboxylic acids to amines through the corresponding amides or acyl azides [eqn. (1)], while the Beckmann^{4,5} rearrangement involves the formation of amides from ketones through the oximes [eqn. (2)].

 $R-COOH$ - \rightarrow $R-CO-NH_2$ $(R-CON_3)$ - \rightarrow R-NH₂ (1)

$$
R-CO-R \longrightarrow R-C(=NOH)-R \longrightarrow R-CONH-R
$$
 (2)

In this Communication we report a new simple oxidation procedure, which allows the reverse transformation of alkylamines to carboxylic acids, aldehydes, ketones and imides through the intermediates acetamides [eqn. (3)].

$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{4}
$$
\n
$$
R^{5}
$$
\n
$$
R^{6}
$$
\n
$$
R^{7}
$$
\n
$$
R^{7}
$$
\n
$$
R^{8}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$
\n
$$
R^{3}
$$
\n
$$
R^{4}
$$
\n
$$
R^{5}
$$
\n
$$
R^{6}
$$
\n
$$
R^{7}
$$
\n
$$
R^{7}
$$
\n
$$
R^{8}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{1}
$$
\n
$$
R^{2}
$$

Recently we have reported^{6,7} simple and highly selective methods for the oxidation of primary alcohols to either aldehydes or esters, depending on the benzylic or aliphatic nature of the alcohol, by bromine-catalysed H_2O_2 [eqns. (4) and (5)].

$$
Ar\text{-}CH_2OH + H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{Br_2} ArCHO + 2 H_2O \qquad (4)
$$

$$
2 R\text{-CH}_2\text{OH} + 2 H_2\text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{Br_2} R \text{COOCH}_2\text{R} + 4 H_2\text{O} \qquad (5)
$$

The selectivity of these reactions is determined by the relative rates of hydrogen abstraction by bromine atom from the alcohol (k_4) and from the corresponding aldehyde (k_5) . For benzylic alcohols $k_4 > k_5$ and the reaction gives high selectivity in aldehyde with complete conversion, whereas for non-benzylic alcohols $k_4 \ll k_5$ and the oxidation gives high selectivity in esters even at very low conversion, without formation of a significant amount of aldehydes.

Aliphatic amines, in principle, should be more reactive, both for enthalpic and polar reasons, than the corresponding alcohols towards hydrogen abstraction by Br**·**. The acidic medium, however, deactivates the amines by protonation, which reverses the polar effect and increases the strength of the C–H bonds in

the α -position. To avoid this limitation we have investigated the bromine-catalysed H_2O_2 oxidation of the corresponding acetamides.

With primary alkyl groups, the carboxylic acid was easily obtained, but when the primary alkyl group was benzylic the corresponding aldehyde was formed instead, with good selectivity at complete conversion. A free-radical chain is involved according to Scheme 1.

R-CH₂-NHCO-CH₃ + Br_•
$$
\frac{k_a}{-HBr}
$$
 R-CH-NHCHO-CH₃
\n $\frac{Br_2}{-Br}$ R-CHBr-NHCO-CH₃ $\frac{H_2O}{-HBr}$ R-CHOH-NHCOCH₃
\n \longrightarrow CH₃COMH₂ + R-CHO $\frac{Br_1 k_a}{-HBr}$ R-CHO $\frac{Br_2}{H_2O}$ R-COOH
\n $\frac{Br_1}{HBr}$ R-COH-NHCO-CH₃ $\frac{Br_2}{H_2O}$ R-CO-NHCO-CH₃
\n**Scheme 1**

Also in this case, as for alcohol oxidation, the selectivity was determined by the relative rates of hydrogen abstraction by Br**·** from the amide (k_a) or from the aldehyde (k'_a). Since $k_a > k'_a$ for $R = \text{aryl}, \text{while } k_a \ll k_a \text{ for } R = \text{alkyl}, \text{ an opposite behaviour}$ is observed in the two cases. Polar and enthalpic effects, due to the different electronic configurations of the alkyl $(\pi$ -type) and acyl (σ -type) radicals, δ determine this different reactivity, as previously6,7 discussed for the oxidation of alcohols.

With secondary alkyl groups the corresponding ketones were obtained, but under the reaction conditions a partial bromination of the ketones occurs; conversion and selectivity are low with cyclohexyl derivatives, due to the particular ease of bromination of cyclohexanone, compared to acyclic ketones.9

By-products of the oxidation according to Scheme 1 are the imides, formed by further oxidation of α -hydroxyamides before cleavage. In any case, imides can be easily hydrolysed, so that high overall yields of carboxylic acids can be obtained by refluxing the acidic reaction mixture; under the reaction conditions (room temperature) the imides are not substantially hydrolysed, supporting the mechanism of Scheme 1 for the formation of carboxylic acids.

With cyclic amines, such as 1 and 2, the higher stability of α hydroxyamides leads to the corresponding imides **3** and **4** with high yields and to the corresponding lactams **5** and **6** by hydrolysis [eqns. (6) and (7)].

$^{\#}$	\mathbb{R}^1	R^2	Br ² /mmol	$\rm H_2O_2/$ mmol	Solvent ml H_2O-DCE	Conv. %	Reaction products (%)
1 ^b	n -C ₄ H ₉	Η	0.4	1.8	1/4	> 99	Carboxylic acid (68) Imide (26)
2 ^b	$n - C_6H_{13}$	H	0.4	1.8	1/4	97	Carboxylic acid (72) Imide (24)
3 ^b	$Me2CH-CH2$	Η	0.4	1.8	1/4	95	Carboxylic acid (63) Imide (25)
4 _b	$n - C_{12}H_{23}$	$\, {\rm H}$	0.4	1.8	1/4	98	Carboxylic acid (72) Imide (23)
5 ^b	n -C ₄ H ₉	n -C ₄ H ₉	$0.8\,$	3.6	1/4	98	Carboxylic acid (66) Imide (28) Carboxylic acid (61)
6 ^b	$n - C_6H_{13}$	$n - C_6H_{13}$	$0.8\,$	3.6	1/4	92	Imide (23) Carboxylic acid (48)
7c	n -C ₄ H ₉	$\rm H$	2.2		4/8	> 99	Imide (45) Carboxylic acid (47)
8 ^c	$n - C_6H_{13}$	Η	2.2		4/8	> 99	Imide (48) Carboxylic acid (44)
9c	$n - C_{12}H_{23}$	Η	2.2 HBr	H_2O_2	4/8	96	Imide (43) Carboxylic acid (46)
10 ^d	$n-C_4H_9$	Η Η	4.4	2.2	4/8 $4/8$	93 95	Imide (44) Ketone (70)
11 ^c 12 ^c	$Me2CH-n-C5H11$ $(n-Bu)_{2}CH$	$\rm H$	1.3 1.3	$\overline{}$	4/8	93	α -Br-ketone (12) Ketone (69)
13 ^c	Ph-CH-Me	$\rm H$	1.3		4/8	> 99	α -Br-ketone (13) Ketone (73)
14 ^c	Cyclohexyl	Η	1.3		$4/8$	26	α -Br-ketone (13) Cyclohexanone (49)
15 ^c	Cyclohexyl	Η	2.2		4/8	35	α -Br-cyclohexanone (51) Cyclohexanone (46) α -Br-cyclohexanone (53)
16 ^b	$(n-Bu)_{2}CH$	H	0.3	$\mathbf{1}$	1/4	41	Ketone (56) α -Br-ketone (41)
17 ^d	$(n-Bu)_{2}CH$	H	HBr 2.6	1.3	4/8	89	Ketone (71) α -Br-ketone (12)
$18c$ 1		$\mathbf{1}$	2.2		4/8	98	3(96)
19c	$\overline{2}$	$\boldsymbol{2}$	2.2	\equiv	4/8	100	4 (95)
20 ^b	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	1.2	0.8	1/1	100	4 (98)
	$21c$ PhCH ₂	H	0.5	$\overline{}$	2/2	49	Aldehyde (95) Imide (5)
22^c	p -Me-C ₆ H ₄ -CH ₂	$\, {\rm H}$	1.0	$\overline{}$	4/4	83	Aldehyde (81) Imide (12)
23 ^b	p -Me-C ₆ H ₄ -CH ₂	H	0.3 HBr	1.2	1/6	96	Aldehyde (73) Imide (4)
24 ^d	p -Me-C ₆ H ₄ -CH ₂	H	2.4	1.2	4/4	85	Aldehyde (82) Imide (6)
25 ^b	p -Cl-C ₆ H ₄ -CH ₂	Η	0.4	0.8	0.6/3	100	Aldehyde (89) Imide (4)

 a DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane. *b* Procedure: the aqueous solution of H₂O₂ was added dropwise to the mixture of the other reagents and solvents reported in the Table, at rt and under effective stirring for 3 h. ^{*c*} Procedure: the mixture of the reagents and solvents reported in the Table 1 was effectively stirred for 3 h at rt. *d* Procedure: as in *c* but 2 mol of HBr and 1 mol H₂O₂ were used instead of 1 mol Br₂. All the reaction products were known and characterised by comparison with authentic samples (GLC-MS and NMR); quantitative yields were determined by GLC using as internal standards *n*-C₇H₁₅COOH for carboxylic acids, *p*-MeO-C₆H₄-CHO for aromatic aldehydes, *n*-Pr-CO-Pr-*n* for ketones and *n*-C₆H₁₃CONHCOMe for imides.

The reactions, carried out in a two-phase system $(H_2O ClCH₂CH₂Cl$), are initiated by ambient light and the active oxidant is Br2, which acts in the organic phase; the formed HBr is extracted by the aqueous phase and oxidised to $Br₂$ by $H₂O₂$ making the process catalytic in Br₂. Two procedures were utilised as reported in Table 1. In both cases the overall process is catalytic in Br_2 , but a higher concentration of Br_2 makes the overall process faster; an aqueous solution of HBr and H_2O_2 can be utilised instead of Br_2 in a two-phase system. Procedure a) gives better results for the synthesis of carboxylic acids because a higher concentration of $Br₂$ accelerates the oxidation of ahydroxyamides to imides, while procedure b) is more suitable for the synthesis of ketones, which consumes the catalytic amount of $Br₂$ by electrophilic bromination and inhibits the free-radical oxidation.

Notes and references

- 1 J. R. Molpass, *Comprehensive Organic Chemistry*, vol. 2, ed. I. O. Sutherland, 1979, p. 17.
- 2 D. V. Banthorpe, *The chemistry of the amino group*, ed. S. Patai, 1968, p. 630.
- 3 D. V. Banthorpe, *The chemistry of the amino group*, ed. S. Patai, 1968, p. 623.
- 4 B. C. Chollis and J. A. Chollis, *Comprehensive Organic Chemistry*, vol. 2, ed. I. O. Sutherland, 1979, p. 966.
- 5 D. V. Banthorpe, *The chemistry of the amino group*, ed. S. Patai, 1968, p. 623.
- 6 A. Amati, G. Dosualdo, F. Fontana, F. Minisci and H.-R. Bjørsvik, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 1998, **2**, 261 and references therein.
- 7 F. Minisci and F. Fontana, *Chim. Ind. (Milan)*, 1998, **80**, 1309 and references therein.
- 8 F. Minisci, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 1976, **62**, 1.
- 9 A. J. Waring, Ref. 1, pp. 1027, 1036, **vol. 1**.